



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,455	10/30/2003	Tsuyoshi Yamamoto	81784.0288	7963

23424 7590 06/29/2006

WALLENSTEIN & WAGNER, LTD.
311 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE
53RD FLOOR
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

ALUNKAL, THOMAS D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2633

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/697,455	Applicant(s) YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner Thomas D. Alunkal	Art Unit 2633	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/30/2003</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park (U.S. PgPub 2002/0060964 A1) in view of Akagi et al (U.S. 6,434,096).

Regarding **Claims 1-6**, Park teaches:

A tilt control method in an optical pickup including a tilt adjustment coil for adjusting the tilt of an objective lens, comprising the steps of (see **Figure 1, Elements 20 and 52**):

- playing back an RF signal of said offset adjustment signal that was recorded on the optical disc (see **Paragraph 25**)
- detecting the peak level in the RF signal of said offset adjustment signal that was played back (see **Paragraph 25, Claim 7, and Figure 1, element 43a**)
- setting said driving signal level, when the detected peak level reaches a maximum, as an offset value for the driving signal to be supplied to the tilt adjustment coil (see **Paragraphs 25 and 56**)
- detecting the bottom level in the RF signal of said offset adjustment signal that was played back (see **Figure 5, Element 13 and Figure 8A**)

- setting said driving signal level, when the detected bottom level reaches a minimum, as an offset value for the driving signal to be supplied to the tilt adjustment coil (**see Paragraphs 25 and 56**). Bottom level detection is done in the same manner as that for detecting the peak level.
- setting said driving signal level, when the difference between the detected peak level and bottom level reaches a maximum, as an offset value for the driving signal to be supplied to the tilt adjustment coil (**see Paragraph 34**)
- wherein, the tilt control is performed by adding the set offset value to a tilt signal for performing tilt control and supplying the added signal to said tilt adjustment coil (**see Paragraph 54 and Figure 1, Elements 43a, 43b and 43d**)

Park does not teach:

- recording an offset adjustment signal in a test recording area provided on an optical disc, wherein said offset adjustment signal is recorded while modifying a driving signal level supplied to said tilt adjustment coil

However, Akagi et al. teaches:

- recording an offset adjustment signal in a test recording area provided on an optical disc, wherein said offset adjustment signal is recorded while modifying a driving signal level supplied to said tilt adjustment coil (**see Column 12, lines 40-45 and Claim 33**)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Park's above teachings with Akagi et al.'s above teaching.

Both Park and Akagi et al. disclose methods for optical tilt control, via said offset

signals. It would have been advantageous to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time was made to store the offset signal on the optical disc, as taught by Akagi et al., because in doing so, complications such as memory loss and lack of memory space can be avoided, which would result in an inability to perform tilt control.

Furthermore, by not erasing the offset signals on the discs, tilt control for a plurality of discs can be continuously achieved without the need for recording the offset signal upon insertion of the discs. Thus, this reduces the time needed for tilt control setup.

Regarding **Claims 7-12**, Park teaches:

A tilt control apparatus for adjusting the tilt of an objective lens in an optical pickup comprising (**see Figure 1, Elements 20 and 52**):

- a signal recording circuit for recording a signal by irradiating light onto a disc via said objective lens(**see Figure 54 and Figure 1, Element 20**)
- photo detector circuit for obtaining an RF signal by detecting reflected light from the disc via said objective lens(**see Paragraph 55**)
- a peak level detector circuit for detecting the peak level of the RF signal from said photo detector circuit(**see Figure 1, Element 43a**)
- a tilt adjustment coil for controlling the tilt of said objective lens(**see Figure 1, Elements 20 and 52**)
- a tilt control circuit for controlling the driving signal level supplied to said tilt adjustment coil (**see Figure 1, Element 43d**)

- said photo detector circuit detects an RF signal of the offset adjustment signal that was recorded on the disc(see **Figure 1, Element 31**)
- the peak level detector circuit detects the peak level of the RF signal in said offset adjustment signal(see **Figure 1, Element 43a**)
- the tilt control circuit detects the driving signal level of the tilt control coil corresponding to the maximum of the detected peak level and uses the detected driving signal level as an offset value for tilt control(see **Paragraph 54 and Figure 1, Elements 43d and 52**)
- a bottom level detector circuit for detecting the bottom level of the RF signal from said photo detector circuit(see **Figure 5, Element 13 and Figure 8A**)
- the bottom level detector circuit detects the bottom level of the RF signal in said offset adjustment signal (see **Paragraphs 25 and 56**). Bottom level detection is done in the same manner as that for detecting the peak level.
- the tilt control circuit detects the driving signal level of the tilt control coil corresponding to the minimum of the detected bottom level and uses the detected driving signal level as an offset value for tilt control (see **Paragraph 54, Figure 1, Elements 43d and 52, and Element 8A**).
- the tilt control circuit detects the driving signal level of the tilt control coil corresponding to the maximum of the difference between the detected peak level and bottom level and uses the detected driving signal level as an offset value for tilt control (see **Paragraphs 34 and 54, Figure 1, Elements 43d and 52**).

- said tilt control circuit performs tilt control by adding said offset value to a tilt signal for performing tilt control and supplying this to said tilt adjustment coil (**see Paragraph 54 and Figure 1, Elements 43a, 43b and 43d**).

Park does not teach:

- **an offset adjustment signal is written to the disc** by recording a signal to the disc by said signal recording circuit while said tilt control circuit modifies the driving signal level to the tilt control coil, and **the relationship between driving signal level and recording position is stored**

However, Akagi et al. teaches:

- an offset adjustment signal is written to the disc by recording a signal to the disc by said signal recording circuit while said tilt control circuit modifies the driving signal level to the tilt control coil, and the relationship between driving signal level and recording position is stored (**see Column 12, lines 9-45**)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the range of Park's above teachings with Akagi et al.'s above teaching. Both Park and Akagi et al. disclose apparatuses for optical tilt control, via said offset signals. It would have been advantageous to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time was made to store the offset signal on the optical disc, as taught by Akagi et al., because in doing so, complications such as memory loss and lack of memory space can be avoided, which would result in an inability to perform tilt control. Furthermore, by not erasing the offset signals on the discs, tilt control for a plurality of discs can be continuously achieved without the need for recording the

offset signal upon insertion of the discs. Thus, this reduces the time needed for tilt control setup. In addition, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to discern the fact that Park's teaching of **Figure 1, Element 40** maintains the relationship between driving signal and recording position, because this relationship is characteristically needed to control the apparatus taught by Park. Therefore, the invention as a whole is prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas D. Alunkal whose telephone number is (571)270-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shanon Foley can be reached on (571)272-0898. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas Alunkal
Patent Examiner



Shanon Foley
Supervisory Patent Examiner